Latin American Economic History, Business History and Economics of Enterprise: Current trends

Abstract
Recent studies about Latin American business are worried about their position on the emerging and global economies. In this article we want to remark the important role of the universities, institutes, schools, and research centers in Latin America that make possible the research work and the publication of Bulletins, Journals and books on business history. In this way, we observe the recent activities of associations and international groups that focus in Latin American Business History in the last twenty five years. We identify the advances and goals in this arena and agreed with Carlos Davila about the thematic diversity and the need to achieve methodological rigor and theoretical propositions. For this reason, we emphasize the historical role of Latin American enterprises and the role of the family business, and their position in the global economies. This article has a double aim, on the one hand, we offer an overview and a state of the art about Latin American Business History. And, on the other hand, we emphasize, within the field of Latin American business, emergent studies that show the potential of this discipline to participate in broader debates about innovation, corporate governance and learning.
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Studies on Latin American Economic History and Economy of Enterprises are related to entrepreneurial history and organizations, respectively. Different efforts have been launched from different economic history associations, all of them constituted formally in the last twenty-five years by the Asociación de Historia Económica del Norte de México (1992), Asociación Uruguaya de Historia Económica (1992), Asociación Argentina de Historia Económica (1997), Asociación Mexicana de Historia Económica (1998), Grupo Iberoamericano de Estudios Empresariales e Historia Económica (2006) -unique in its scope because of the permanent participation of researchers from Argentina, Colombia, México, Perú and Spain-, the Asociación Colombiana de Historia Económica (2007), Asociación Chilena de Historia Económica (2008), Associação Brasileira de Pesquisadores em História Econômica (2009), Asociación de Historia Económica del Caribe (2010), and Asociación Peruana de Historia Económica (2011). These groups have organized national and international conferences like the Annual Latin American Economic History Conference and the Annual Economic History Workshop from AMHE where most experts on Latin American economic history participate. Also an important role has been played by universities, institutes, schools, and research centers, that make possible researching work and publishing of Bulletins.

*Bulletins* and specialized *Journals* have been the main diffusion framework of debates on economic history in Latin America and evolution of businesses. Before 1980, the participations were rarely published in international *Journals* like *The Business History Review* edited by Cambridge University since 1953; *The Family Business Review* edited since 1988 (Lansberg and Perrow 1991, 127-147) and the *Revista de Investigaciones de Historia*.

\(^1\) Started in 1926 as *Bulletin of the Business Historical Society*. 
Económica edited by the Asociación Española de Historia Económica, which started to be published in its current online format in 2005. Since 1983, a new space was opened in the Revista de Historia Económica - Journal of Iberian and Latin American Economic from Cambridge University published every trimester. Other spaces were being added to these platforms headed by different associations on economic history (Table 1). Other journals and reviews close to economic history which have allowed the publication of studies on Latin American entrepreneurial development have been: Historia Mexicana (Mexican History), El Colegio de México, Revista de Investigación Económica (Economic Research Review), UNAM; Historelo Colombian Local and Regional History Review; Desarrollo Económico (Economic Development) –an electronic review in collaboration with Jstor-; Estudios Gerenciales (Management Studies) by Elsevier, Revista Económica del Caribe (Caribbean Economic Review), Universia Business Review, Trimestre Económico (Economic Trimestral), Fondo de Cultura Económica, Revista Innovar (Innovation Review) in Argentina, and Apuntes Social Sciences Review in Perú, among others.

The publishing of books related to economic and business history has been conducted with regularity in Colombia, Argentina, Brazil and Mexico for the last two decades. This period coincides with the launching of most Latin American associations on economic history. In this way, the academic debates incorporated data and thought about big business in globalization, the conformation of local elites, and the regional productive models. In these countries, since 1970, research work on entrepreneurial history related to businesses and some economic sectors, from the 19th century to the 21st century, has been very much active (Derossi 1977; Cerutti 1992; Szmrecsányi and Maranhao 1996; Cerutti and Marichal 1997; Basave 2000; Basave 2001).
Table 1. Economic History Journals and Bulletins in Latin America

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name / year</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>História Econômica &amp; História de Empresas</em> (Economic History &amp; Enterprises History [1993])</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Brazilian Association Economic History</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>América Latina en la Historia económica</em> (Latin America in the Economic History) [1994/2004]</td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>José Maria Mora Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Boletín de la Cátedra Corona</em> (Corona Chair) [2001]</td>
<td>Printed / Electronic</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Management Faculty of Los Andes University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bulletin of Mexican Economic History</em> [2001]</td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Mexican Association of Economic History</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anuario CEED</em> (Yearbook of the Center of Business and Development Economic Studies) [2007]</td>
<td>Printed</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Economic Research Institute of Buenos Aires University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H-industri@</em> [2007]</td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Buenos Aires University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Time &amp; Economy</em> [2014]</td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Jorge Tadeo Lozano University</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Own elaboration based on public information.*

---

2 Published every six months.
3 Between 1994-2004 the title was *Boletín de Fuentes*. Published every three year since 2004.
4 Published every six months.
5 Published every four months.
6 Between 2002-2010 the title was *Boletín de Historia Económica de AUDHE*. Since 2013 published every two years.
7 Published every year.
8 Published every six months.
9 Published every six months.
Thematic diversity requires methodological rigor and theoretical propositions (Dávila et al. 2013). This is why we want to remark two discussions about Latin American businesses: a) the role of enterprises and the role of family businesses, and b) their position in the global economies, as developed in the lines below.

In contrast to the discussions in the United States where one of the main issues is on corporate governance and the family in small and medium size businesses, for the Latin American historians it is very important to understand: the adaptation and internationalization processes of businesses in specific productive sectors, as well as to analyze how the family has gained presence in the endurance of entrepreneurial networks (Kosacoff and Ramos 2010, 56-75), in the technological change processes, and the managerial alternative routes -contrasting with Chandler’s managerial theory-. However, it is necessary to expand the dialogue among Latin American and North American, Asian, and European communities of scholars, about innovation, technological change, and learning in family business and business evolution in Latin America.

The recent discussions about business perdurability and the participation of family business in different spheres of Latin American economies deserve theoretical, methodological and empirical comparative reflections (Lansberg and Perrow 1991; Poza 1995, 301-211; Morlán 2007, 13-38; Fernández 2012, 96; Miller 2010a, 90; Barbero 2014, 95), which will allow discussion about the accomplishments of Latin American enterprises in globalization. Specialists in economic history, like Erro, Amatori and Jones, warn us about how the challenges of this discipline face this era of globalization (Erro 2003; Amatori and Jones 2008, 4).

10 According to Muntean: «Although family controlled firms permeate finance, economics, and politics in the United States, they are infrequently studied by leading economists and organizational theorists, who assume an ideal type … with complete separation of ownership from management». (Muntean 2008, 4).
2003). Debates about learning, organizational development and the continuity of business networks will be added to issues such as Latin American bank development and big enterprises -widely studied until the 1990s (Marichal and Cerutti 1997; Peres 1998; Cerutti 2006)-. The recent positioning of multinational businesses, from -so called- emerging economies, deserves to be analyzed in depth as pointed out by Ma. Inés Barbero a few decades ago (Barbero 2014; Barbero 2003; Miller 2010a).

The most of native Latin American businesses before 1850 corresponded to colonial productive models (1542-1810). Once the independence movements expanded in Latin America along early 19th century, new forms of mercantile and productive associations were defined as part as modern business history (Tortolero 1996). Parallel to formal business registrations regulated by the Commerce Codes in different countries, new business registration appeared. The registration acts show us different kind of shareholders: foreigners with foreign residence, foreigners with local residence, and often Mexicans by naturalization. After a few decades we can found the first descendants of first businessmen generation

The combination of all these groups gave way to the emergence of local elites and to different forms of establishing businesses and strategies for accumulation (Cerutti 2000, 3-27; Cerutti 2002, 262). In the last century and a half, in Latin America, we find a high entrepreneurial diversity which later will be discussed more consistently, especially when dealing with the evolution of Latin American businesses recently positioned in international competitive markets, and with the validity of the family observed in the corporate governance of firms (Casanova 2015; Cerutti 2015, 71-94 and 153-187; Lane et al. 2010, 155-169). Mainly economic historians from Argentina, Colombia and Mexico are heading Latin American debates on these aspects (Barbero 2008; Fernández 2010, 147-167; Barbero and Lluch 2014;
Almaraz 2007a, 113-142; Almaraz 2007b; Bueno et al. 1979, 39-92; Cerutti 2005; Gilbert 2009, 43-72). Nevertheless, the consolidation of a mainstream scholarship about Latin American businesses is still under construction. Rory R. Miller emphasized that: «On the one hand, case studies of individual firms have proliferated during the last two decades, especially in Mexico, Argentina, and Colombia, as a new generation of researchers has entered the field… Yet, on the other hand, studies of Latin American business have not yet entered the disciplinary mainstream» (Miller 2010b, 637-674).

Family Business and Entrepreneurial Families

In recent revisions of family business literature in Latin America, we can observe some studies conducted in the 1970s -1980s, where the perspective has been anthropological and regional, as in the case of Mexico. In this perspective, we can highlight the work of Luis Alfonso Ramirez who has studied entrepreneurial families of Lebanese origin in the Yucatan peninsula.¹¹ Meanwhile, Gamboa had made the role of families in the business from an historic perspective visible (Gamboa 1986, 57-81). The works of Lizama and Valerio have also applied a sociohistorical perspective (Valerio 2002; Lizama 2000), and recently the research of González and Almaraz has been integrated, focusing specifically on the Northwest region of Mexico (González 2001, 13-28; Almaraz 2007a, 113-142; Almaraz 2007b; Almaraz 2010, 87-122). Nonetheless, the great advancement in family and enterprise studies has been conducted by Cerutti, who is one of the main historians on economic history and business

development in Mexico. In his review on business research in the North of Mexico he mentions:

«[Since 1990 highlight] the research on family business, individuals, and CEO in economic activities studies [which explains...] the explicit intention to investigate and verify the trajectory of regional bourgeoisie, whose existence and eventual significance had been literally denied by a historiography saturated by anti-entrepreneurial prejudice and very dependent of the national and centralist view of the socioeconomic processes», (Cerutti 2007, 122).

In other Latin American countries, the studies related to family business have been focused on the development of economic sectors. Nevertheless, the contributions in the last decades have been of great importance, which puts us on the threshold of corporate history and a much clearer definition of our subject of study, as noted by Dávila (2007, 39-70). We are observing a growing discussion about the definition of family business and some enquiries about its continued existence, the specialization of family business versus the diversification over time, and its presence in the corporate governance. A related topic is the conceptualization of entrepreneurial families or family entrepreneurs. The research work developed until now allows us to infer about some aspects of organizations like the strong family foundations, and the new institutional, mercantile and technological environments. Therefore, we can refer to the work of Josep Tapies et al. (2014) who revealed how the family based enterprise organizes and subsists with generational changes, acquiring a relevant positioning. In this same line, Fernández has presented in recent years the topic of family property at the center of the current entrepreneurial and businesses discussion (Fernández 2010; 2015). We must be reading other works related to family business focused on technology and management of
family to contrast the pathways of Latin American businesses (Del Giudice et al. 2011; Hougaz 2015).

**The Economics of Enterprise in Latin America**

A revision of literature related to the Economics of the Enterprise in Latin America allows us to identify the main trends and favorite thematic areas developed by the scientific community. To identify these areas, for the past four years, we have analyzed scientific articles published in international reference magazines. The first thematic approach to these articles, whose typology has been determined by a quantitative analysis of the scientific production in this field of work, suggests a general classification into two criteria easily identified: the research referring to Innovation and Entrepreneurship, and the rest of the work to be classified under a diversity of areas which include the economics of business, with special attention to the Latin American case in areas related to Information Technologies and Communications, Corporate Social Responsibility, entrepreneurial financing, and general management.

In relationship to the related research on *Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, we have authors like Amorós, Fernández and Tapia (2012, 249–270); Chaston and Scott (2012, 1161–1177); Lederman et al. (2014); Castellacci (2015, 43–58); o Crespi (2012, 273–290); who have performed a regional analysis on the variables that boost innovation in Latin America.

There are common aspects in the perspective of these investigations. First, the authors assume innovation as an analytical valid variable, and endogenous to the entrepreneurial development. After considering this assumption, there is the tendency to confirm the existence of a minimum innovative dynamic in the region because they claim there are no conditions for its promotion and development, neither from an institutional macro perspective (politics), nor from a micro point of view (management-corporate culture). In this sense, for
example, the title of Lederman’s et al. (2014) is paradigmatic: «*Latin American Entrepreneurs: Many Firms But Little Innovation*». Regularly, researchers try to identify the variables that may promote innovation processes in emerging economies by using the Latin American ones as a case study. In most of the cases, corporate culture is defined with those aspects related to training and qualification, generation of technology and applied knowledge, and to incentives, as well as to the most important causes of its technological gap. In the same way, we observe a certain proliferation of literature, which incorporates the sectorial analysis and case study approach for different countries in the region with a clear preference for Colombia, Mexico and Brazil. In this segment, the work by and Páez (1998, 91-90); Vizcarra, López Torres and Yánez Ruiz (2013, 55-66); Araneda-Guirriman, Pedraja-Rejas and Rodríguez Ponce (2015); and Santos, Basso, Kimura and Kayo (2014, 527–535) are considered to be paradigmatic and paradoxical.

In relationship to different publications, we appreciate that international journals—those which have included this research in their table of contents—tend to set a thematic profile but not a preconceived preference for the region. When studies on Latin America are published, they are set as case studies within a concrete methodological analysis. Therefore, journals like *Management Decision, Journal of Business Research, International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal* do not center their interest in investigating about the becoming and procedures of Latin American economies and businesses. We think that this is an important variable to consider at the moment of positioning the journal, since we are sure there is a tendency to understand the corporate behavior and evolution from a perspective that works in a concrete and specific manner in Latin America. Nevertheless, the most likely publications to promote development of this type of research with a genuine undertone of interest for Latin
American businesses issues are linked to universities and research centers in different cities within the region, as Revista EAN, Revista Global de Negocios, la MPRA, Revista Virtual Universidad Católica del Norte, la Revista Idesia, or supranational organisms of analysis like the Comisión Económica para América Latina y el Caribe (CEPAL), The World Bank or the World Development.

Regarding the literature identified as belonging to the field of Economics of Enterprises, we have confirmed a considerable production of work related to ICTs, Corporate Social Responsibility, business financing, and general management. We consider that the concern researchers have is correlated to the classic challenges of regional economy, as testified by a contextualized document about the minimum common denominator of the corporate, political and social reality in Latin America. The research by Botello Peñaloza et al. (2015, 3-15); Quinones, Nicholson and Heeks (2015, 179-208), may be examples that illustrate the growing preoccupation about the impact of new technologies in the performance of Latin American businesses.

Within the work related to management, the concern for Corporate Social Responsibility and management mechanisms of control is much present; the work of Penfold, Oneto and Rodríguez Guzmán (2015); Wendlandt, Valdés, Martínez and Ochoa (2015); Bae et al. (2012, 412–435); Cardona (2014); McCarthy, et al. (2012, 27-38); and Garay and Font (2013, 38–46) address their interest to the influence of internal management processes as well as to the elements that coincide with the social impact of the business and its environment. The heterogeneity in the approach of this type of research tends to fall mainly in a study case methodology, centered on the size of the corporation in an endogenous variable that conditions it, or in a concrete geographic area. As we have observed in the work related to
entrepreneurship and innovation, highly qualified international journals tend to show a clear thematic profile, but not a pre-established preference for the Latin American region. When studies are referred on Latin America surface, they are considered as study cases within a concrete methodological analysis. In this regard, we have identified the *Journal of Financial Economics, Journal of Business Ethics, Tourism Management Perspectives, Emerging Markets Review*. As well as in the previous case, the publications which are more inclined to foster development of this type of research focusing in Latin America tend to be related to universities or research centers in different places of the region. Some titles are *Revista de Estudios Gerenciales, Cuadernos de Administración (Universidad del Valle)* o los *Cuadernos de Economía*. Other works related to Enterprise Economics in Latin America as an axis of scientific interest have been related to business financing. The research of Servina, Lensinkb and Van den Berg (2012, 2136–2144); and Maquieira, Preve and Sarria-Allende (2013, 118–148); may be of great information. The *Journal of Banking & Finance, Emerging Markets Review* are two of the journals that see Latin America as a laboratory where they can test theories related to difficulties in financing that corporations may find in emerging economies. Once more, we find articles that address research towards an analysis related to the environment and consider the region as the main object of study: Ibáñez et al. (2015).

In recent years, Latin America has also been the object of study, and an abundance in the production of research related to entrepreneurial governance has been observed: Carmen Briano Turrenta and Saavedra Garcíá (2015, 275–286); Jardon and Martos (2012, 462-481); Blancoa and Golik (2015, 1865-1888). At the same time there is a growing number of studies about the impact of globalization in the performance of Latin American corporations: Botello (2014); Staats and Biglaiser (2012, 193–202); and Iacovone, Rauch & Winters (2013).
Final remarks

Latin American studies can offer excellent platforms for discussions about global debates around innovation, knowledge, family businesses, technological adaptation and change, and issues related to internationalization processes. All of them are methodologically relevant as case of study. And we need to construct a solid theoretical framework to understand origins, transitions and adaptation processes. The recent position of Latin American businesses in global markets must be analyzed in a much broader debate. In Latin American contexts there seems to be a clear correspondence between the discussions about family adaptation patterns and the entrepreneurial continuity, but there are a lack of discussions about industrialization and technological development of the corporations and big family business in those emerging economies. As regards the research on the Economics of the Enterprise, we observe that international journals tend to accept works where Latin American enterprises are conceived as study cases of BRICS; and when the focus is on the study of firm behavior from the Latin American perspective, the publications commonly refer to regional analysis or to descriptions of international organizations.

The dialogue among different groups of specialists about entrepreneurial history and Latin American entrepreneurial development cannot be postponed if we want to understand the different business evolution processes and new entrepreneurial networks.
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