Shifts in the Ediacaran to Lower Ordovician sedimentary zircon provenances of Northwest Gondwana: the Pyrenean files
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Abstract

Detrital zircon grains from Cambrian–Lower Ordovician sandstones and quartzites sampled in the Pyrenees were dated by LA-ICPMS in order to assess their provenance sources. Resulting age distributions are compared to other available datasets from neighbouring margins, such as Morocco, the Iberian Peninsula, southern France and Sardinia. Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test and Crystallization Age-Depositional Age (CA-DA) diagrams were used to compare zircon populations estimating their possible correlation with the arc/rift/drift geodynamic evolution of the northwestern Gondwana margin. During Terreneuvian times, zircon populations allowed the distinction of i) a southwesternmost edge (Anti-Atlas-Ossa-Morena Rift) mostly influenced by Panafrican and Anti-Atlasian sources (ca. 0.63–0.54), ii) a northeasternmost edge (Sardinia) recording the influence of the Saharan Metacraton and the Arabian Nubian Shield, with a distinct Stenian–Tornian shift (ca. 1.25–0.85Ga) and iii) an intermediate palaeogeographic transect, where lies the Central-Iberian, West Asturian-Leonese and Cantabrian Zones, the Montagne Noire and the Pyrenees sharing similar populations and a chronologically progressive influence from Anti-Atlasian/Panafrican to Saharan Metacraton/Arabian Nubian Shield sources. This gradual modification in zircon percentage populations supports similar trends based on climatically sensitive indicators, biogeographic patterns of Cambrian Epoch 2 archaeocyathan and microfossil assemblages, and laterally correlatable episodes of carbonate production, all of them pointing to a Cambrian setting for the Pyrenean Basin between the Montagne Noire (Occitan Domain) and the Sardinian margins of NW Gondwana. The Terreneuvian zircon patterns recorded in the Pyrenees gradually evolved from Cambrian Epoch 2 to Early Ordovician times, reflecting the geodynamic evolution from Panafrican and Cadomian arc-related to rift-dominant conditions. During Furongian and Ordovician times, the relative percentage of zircon populations led to a more spread age curve, characteristic of extensional settings and pointing to rift (passive margin) conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology is a powerful tool to characterize crustal growth episodes, deciphering detrital sources, estimating the maximum depositional age of strata, and constraining the geodynamic evolution of continental margins (e.g. Gehrels, 2014). During the two last decades, the analysis of zircon provenances has been regularly applied to identify the different sources of the Neoproterozoic–early Palaeozoic basins fringing Northwest Gondwana in order to determine their relative proximity to different orogens (e.g. Altumí et al., 2013; Avigad et al., 2012, 2018; Ballouard et al., 2018; Couzinié et al., 2019; Drost et al., 2011; Fernández-Suárez et al., 2014; Gutiérrez-Alonso et al., 2003; Kydonakis et al., 2014; Linnemann et al., 2008; Margalef et al., 2016; Meinhold et al., 2011, 2013; Padel et al., 2017, 2018; Pastor-Galán et al., 2013; Shaw et al., 2014). Among these orogenic events, the Neoproterozoic Pan African (Anti-Atlas, 790–560Ma), Cadomian (e.g. Armorican Massif, Iberia and Bohemian Massif, 850–550Ma) and Avalonian (e.g. Newfoundland, New England and Nova Scotia Cape Breton, 730–570Ma) events have received particular attention as they share a broad shift from convergent to extensional conditions, with a turnerover close to the Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary interval (590–540Ma; Ballèvre et al., 2001; Blein et al., 2014a, b; Linnemann et al., 2007; Murphy et al., 1999; Nance et al., 2002; Stampfli and von Raumer, 2008; Stampfli et al., 2013).

In NW Gondwana (including the Moroccan Anti-Atlas, the Iberian Peninsula, southern France and Sardinia), evidences for this arc/rift geodynamic change are preserved in disconnected basement exposures of the European Variscan Belt (Fig. 1A). In southwestern Europe, the Variscan Ibero-Armorican Arc contains two branches (Ballèvre et al., 2009; Martínez Catalán et al., 2007; Pouclet et al., 2017): i) a southwestern branch represented by the Iberian Massif and ii) a northeastern branch that includes the Armorican Massif, the South Armorican Domain (southwestern Brittany and Vendée), the northern Massif Central, the Occitan Domain (Albigéois, Montagne Noire, Mouthoumet, and Cévennes massifs of the southern Massif Central) and its lateral prolongation into the Pyrenean Domain, Corsica and Sardinia. Although the Pyrenees share strong Ediacaran–Cambrian stratigraphic similarities with the Cantabrian Zone, the Montagne Noire and SW Sardinia, its Cambrian palaeogeographic position has been an everlasting matter of discussion (Álvaro et al., 2014a; Ballèvre et al., 2009; Laumonier et al., 1996, 2004; Pouclet et al., 2017). As a result, the palaeogeographic position of the Pyrenees is often questioned or even omitted in many Ediacaran–early Palaeozoic palaeogeographic reconstructions of Gondwana (e.g. Murphy et al., 2004; Nance et al., 2008).

This study aims to assess the evolution, across space and time, of the influence of sedimentary zircon populations during Cambrian–Early Ordovician times throughout a palaeogeographic SW-NE transect of NW Gondwana. It focuses on successions from the Anti-Atlas (Morocco), the Ossa-Morena, Central Iberian, West Asturian-Leonese and Cantabrian Zones of the Iberian Massif, the central and eastern Pyrenees (France/Spain border), the Montagne Noire (France) and southern Sardinia (Italy). We present here a comprehensive study of detrital zircon grains from Cambrian sandstones and quartzites in the Pyrenees, completed with other Ediacaran and Ordovician samples from the Pyrenees yielded by Casas et al. (2015), Margalef et al. (2016) and Padel et al. (2018). Our study is based on a multi-tool analysis of Kernel density plots (KDE), statistical Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) tests and Crystallization Age-Depositional Age (CA-DA) diagrams, which leads to the proposal of new palaeogeographic constrains for the geodynamic evolution of NW Gondwana during Ediacarian to Ordovician times.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND STRATIGRAPHY OF THE PYRENEES

The basement of south-western Europe includes, among others, the core of the Iberian and Armorican massifs, the French Massif Central and the Pyrenees. Recent palaeogeographic re-appraisals based on litho- (Padel et al., 2018) and biostratigraphic revisions (Wallet et al., 2022), completed with correlations of the mid–Ordovician Sardinian Phase (Álvaro et al., 2020 and references within) have placed the Ediacaran–Ordovician Pyrenean margin of Gondwana as a lateral continuity of neighbouring domains, such as the Occitan Domain (including Montagne Noire and the Mouthoumet massifs) and SW Sardinia. As a result, the Cambrian Pyrenean Basin has been recently integrated in the reconstruction of pre–Variscan palaeogeographic puzzles along NW Gondwana, after combining structural, magmatic and stratigraphic comparisons (e.g. Álvarez et al., 2021; Casas and Murphy, 2018).

The present-day Pyrenean Belt formed as an intracontinental fold and thrust belt related to a Late Cretaceous to Early Neogene collision between the Iberian microplate and the southern European plate. The belt is subdivided into three E–W morphostructural units, the Northern, Axial and Southern zones (Barnolas and Chiron, 1996). The Axial Zone consists of a complete Ediacaran to Carboniferous succession intruded by Ordovician granites, which include distinct migmatised orthogneiss aureoles, and Variscan anatectic granites (Casas et al., 2010; Castiñeiras et al., 2008; Cocherie et al., 2005; Deloule et al., 2002; Denèè et al., 2009; Lemire et al., 2019; Liasa et al., 2011; Martínez et al., 2011; Mezger and Gerdes, 2016).
The metamorphic domes resulting from the Variscan orogeny are surrounded by various metamorphic grades affecting the entire pre–Variscan strata (e.g. Cochelin et al., 2018; Fig. 1C). The Axial Zone is bounded by the North- and South-Pyrenean Thrusts (Laumonier et al., 2015), subsequently flanked by post–Variscan-dominant series.
Pre–Variscan rocks mostly crop out in the central and eastern Axial Zone, from the Pallaresa Dome to the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 1C). In the eastern Pyrenees, several tectonostratigraphic units are recognized, such as the Puigmal, Conflent, Aspres, Roc de Frausa, Albera and Cap de Creus units (Fig. 1C). Their Ediacaran–Lower Ordovician succession is subdivided into the Canaveilles and Jujols groups (Laumonier et al., 1996, 2004; Padel et al., 2018; Fig. 2). The Ediacaran Canaveilles Group, 2–3km thick, is a monotonous micaschist-dominant succession locally punctuated by rhyolites, volcanosedimentary breccias, marbles and quartzites. The presence/absence of carbonate interbeds allows deciphering between the (lower) Nyers and the (upper) Olette formations. The latter is capped, in the Puigmal tectonostratigraphic unit (Padel et al., 2018) (Fig 2), by a volcanosedimentary complex, up to 500m in thickness, named Pic de la Clape Formation, where three members have been distinguished: i) the Fabert Member, a succession of bedded metarhyolites, up to 50m thick, interbedded with intraformational breccias, arkoses, shales and basic lava flow interbeds; ii) the Finestrelles Member, a package of massive felsic-dominant ignimbrites and volcanosedimentary breccias, up to 500m thick, interbedded with tuffaceous sandstones and siltstones, and locally punctuated by pristine-to-volcanoclastic limestone interbeds and iii) the Pic de la Clape Formation, up to 180m thick, composed of massive to bedded limestones and marbles (Padel et al., 2018). The overlying Miaolingian–Lower Ordovician Jujols Group has an estimated thickness of 3–4km and comprises, from bottom to top, the Err, Valcebollère and Serdinya formations. The Err Formation, ~1500m thick, consists of shale/metasedimentary alternations, conformably overlain by the massive to bedded limestones and marbles of the Valcebollère Formation, 200-300m thick. Finally, the Serdinya Formation, ~2000m thick, consists of homogeneous micaschists and shales, irregularly punctuated by centimetre to decimetre-thick sandstone interbeds.

In the Pallaresa dome of the central Pyrenees, a thick (>4000m) siliciclastic-dominant succession can be subdivided into three units, named the Allos d’Isil, Lleret-Bayau and Alins formations (Laumonier et al., 1996), which represent the three-fold subdivision of the Jujols Group reported above. In the Rabassa Unit, the same succession is represented by the Seo Formation, a lithostratigraphic equivalent to both the Serdinya and Alins Formations (Figs. 1; 2).
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Three fine- to medium-grained sandstones were sampled in the Pyrenean Axial Zone for U-Pb detrital zircon geochronology: i) sample PC7 from a Terreneuvian Err metasandstone in the Puigmal Unit; ii) MPAD8 from a lowermost Serdinya metasandstone (encompassing the Cambrian Series 2–3 transition) in the Aspres Unit and iii) ALN1 from a Maastrichtian Alins metasandstone in the central Pyrenees (Figs. 1; 2). Former U-Pb detrital zircon analyses were performed by Margalef et al. (2015) in sample RB-10-01, from a Lower Ordovician sandstone of the Sea Formation in the Rabassa Unit, central Pyrenees.

These four datasets are compared with previous analyses from Ediacaran to Ordovician detrital zircon grains following a SW-NE transect along the northwestern Gondwana margin (Fig. 3). The sample selection was based on i) distinct chronostratigraphic controls, ii) representative amounts of zircon grains to be statically acceptable for comparison and iii) precise sample location within the regional tectonostratigraphic units. The compilation includes over 50 samples but, based on the three discerning criteria stated above, only 19 samples were selected. These include case studies from the Moroccan Anti-Atlas (Avigad et al., 2012; samples M1 and M4), the Ossa-Morena Zone (Pereira et al., 2012; ETZ30 and ETZ32), the Central Iberian Zone (Fernández-Suárez et al., 2014; OD3 and OD4; Shaw et al., 2014; SCS5), the West Asturian-Leonese Zone (Fernández-Suárez et al., 2014; OD2 and OD7; Shaw et al., 2014; CZ02), the Cantabrian Zone (Casas et al., 2015; TG0701, TG0702, TG0703 summarized under sample TG because of their sampling from a single lithostratigraphic unit, Spain); Padel et al. (2017: MN4, Montagne Noire, France (Figs. 6; 7). S= Series; Qtze.= Quartzite; VSC= volcanosedimentary complex; Estremoz A= Estremoz Anticline; Sdst.= Sandstone and Lmst.= limestone

FIGURE 3. Schematic Ediacaran–Lower Ordovician stratigraphic chart showing setting of samples reported in this study: Avigad et al. (2012: samples M1 and M4, Anti-Atlas, Morocco and sample S5, SW Sardinia, Italy), Pereira et al. (2012: ETZ30 and ETZ32, Ossa-Morena Zone, Spain); Fernández-Suárez et al. (2014: OD3 and OD4, Central-Iberian Zone; OD2 and OD7, West Asturian-Leonese Zone; OD1, Cantabrian Zone, Spain); Shaw et al. (2014: SCS5, Central Iberian Zone; WALZ01, West Asturian-Leonese Zone; CZ02, Cantabrian Zone, Spain); Henderson et al. (2016: AC012-57 and AC012-60, Cantabrian Zone, Spain); Casas et al. (2015: TG0701, TG0702, TG0703 summarized under sample TG because of their sampling from a single lithostratigraphic unit, Spain); Padel et al. (2017: MN4, Montagne Noire, France (Figs. 6; 7), S= Series; Qtze.= Quartzite; VSC= volcanosedimentary complex; Estremoz A= Estremoz Anticline; Sdst.= Sandstone and Lmst.= limestone

U-Pb analytical method

The zircon grains yielded by the new Pyrenean samples (PC7, MPAD8 and ALN1) were randomly hand-picked under a binocular microscope after grinding of fresh rocks followed by heavy liquid and magnetic separation. They were included in epoxy resin and then polished in order to expose their inner parts. Internal growth textures and morphologies of zircon grains were revealed using cathodoluminescence and back-scattered electron imaging under Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) at the Laboratoire Océanologie et Géosciences of the University of Lille 1.
U-Pb in situ analysis of single grains were determined at the GeOHeliS analytical platform (University of Rennes 1) by Laser Ablation Coupled with Plasma source Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS), using ablation spot diameters of 25µm, energy pulse of 7J/cm² and repetition rates of 5Hz. Data were corrected for U-Pb fractionation and for the mass bias by standard bracketing with repeated measurements of the GJ-1 zircon (Jackson et al., 2004). Repeated analyses of the Plešovice zircon standard (Slàma et al., 2008) treated as unknowns were used to control the reproducibility and accuracy of the corrections and yielded a concordia age of 336.7±0.8Ma.

FIGURE 4. A) KDE plot for Ediacaran to Lower Ordovician samples from the Pyrenees. The upper part of the diagram shows potential 3500–500Ma zircon sources of detrital zircon populations and potential geological events link to them. Sources are identified according to the methodology reported by Avigad et al. (2003, 2012), Drost et al. (2011), Linnemann et al. (2011) and Pereira et al. (2012). PAN= Panafican and Cadomian event sources; AA= Anti-Atlasian event sources; WAC1= Eburnean event sources of the West African craton; WAC2= Liberian event sources of the West African craton; WAC3= Leonan event sources of the West African craton; TSB1= Trans-Saharan belt, Benin-Nigerian shield sources; TSB2= Trans-Saharan belt, Tuareg shield sources; SMC= Saharan metacraton; sources ANS= Arabian–Nubian shield sources; NWCC= Northwestern edge of Congo Craton sources. B) KDE plot for zircon populations included in the 1200-450Ma interval illustrating the evolution of some specific peaks through different samples.
FIGURE 5. Comparison of Kernel Density Estimate plot for all samples included in this study.

FIGURE 6. Pie chart with relative proportion of the different age groups identified in all the samples included in this study.
A) Lower Ordovician

B) Cambrian Miaolingian/S2

C) Terreneuvian

D) Ediacaran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>ETZ30</th>
<th>OD3</th>
<th>ACO-12-60</th>
<th>OD1</th>
<th>MN4</th>
<th>PC7</th>
<th>S5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETZ30</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD3</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACO-12-60</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN4</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>ACO-12-57</th>
<th>ALN1</th>
<th>MPAD8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACO-12-57</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALN1</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPAD8</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ediacaran</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ETZ32</td>
<td>0.476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD4</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD7</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD2</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 7. Results of K-S test displayed by all samples. Yellow boxes identify samples with probable identical parent zircon populations with a 95% confidence level. This test has been applied for samples of equivalent age: A) Lower Ordovician, B) Cambrian Miaolingian/S2, C) Terreneuvian and D) Ediacaran. CIZ= Central Iberian Zone; WALZ= West Asturian-Leonese Zone; Cant= Cantabrian Zone; Pyr= Pyrenees; Moroc= Morocco; O-M= Ossa-Morena; Mont. N= Montagne Noire; Sard= Sardinia.

(n= 36; MSWD= 0.33). Data reduction was carried out with the GLITTER software (Van Achtbergh et al., 2001). More information about the analytical protocol can be found in Manzotti et al. (2015), Padel et al. (2017) and in Appendix I.

U-Pb data treatment: comparison of sources and tectonic settings

All data are summarized in Appendix II-IV, where the isotopic ratios and single apparent ages are reported with 1σ errors. In total, 115 grains were analysed from sample PC7, 107 from MPAD8, and 120 from ALN1. In this study, data treatment was made with the analyses that were more than 90% concordant using the 207Pb/206Pb apparent ages for zircon older than 1000Ma, and the 206Pb/238U apparent ages for the grains that are younger than 1000Ma (Faure and Mensing, 2005; Meinhold et al., 2011; Talavera et al., 2012). Maximum depositional age is calculated using the age of the youngest zircon population from a cluster of at least three concordant analyses from three different grains overlapping in age at 2σ (standard deviation), as proposed by Dickinson and Gehrels (2009) to ensure a statistically robust estimate of the maximum depositional ages. As suggested by Manzotti et al. (2015), KDE plots (Figs. 4; 5) were built using IsoplotR (Vermeesch, 2018) for sample comparisons with a specific focus on five geological events: the Panafrican,
Grenville, Eburnean (or related), Siderian-Neoarchean and Leonian (or related) events. Helped by this graphical proxy, a statistical comparison for equivalent cluster age proportion was made on Neoarchean–Siderian [3.6–2.5Ga], Siderian–Stenian [2.50–1.25Ga], Stenian–Tonyan [1.25–0.85Ga] and Tonyan-Ediacaran [0.85–0.45Ga] (Fig. 6).

A K-S test was applied to determine if contemporaneous siliciclastic sediments were supplied from similar sources (revealed by a spike on the age distribution) along the NW Gondwana margin (Fig. 7). The K-S test is a non-parametric probabilistic test useful to compare age distributions from different samples and to discriminate potential statistical differences between them (Guynn and Gehrels, 2010). The test compares the cumulative probability curves, or cumulative distribution functions (CDF), of different zircon populations to evaluate the probability (P) that they might be different (Guynn and Gehrels, 2010). For a K-S test with a 95% confidence level, as used herein, a P-value lower than 0.05 means that the compared populations are significantly different.

Sedimentary basins can be distinguished according to their lithospheric basement, their position with respect to plate boundaries (intrinsic vs. plate margin), and their background plate motion (convergent, collisional, divergent or transform; Allen and Allen, 2005). Cawood et al. (2012) introduced a methodology to decipher the type of basin and their relative tectonic settings based on detrital zircon analysis; the authors provided a comparative CA-DA diagram, where the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) of zircon age is illustrated based on differences between Crystallization Ages (CA) and Depositional Ages (DA) of the detrital zircon grains. This empirical model considers that convergent settings induce large proportions of detrital zircon crystals with narrow unimodal KDE curves, linked to early magmatic arcs close, in space and time, to the depositional basin (e.g. fore-arc, back-arc, intra-arc and foreland cordillera basins). On the contrary, collisional, extensional and intracratonic settings (passive margin, rift and foreland basins) would induce a relatively late incorporation of detrital grains characterized by multimodal age distributions (compared to their crystallization age) through possible polyphase reworking. As a result, extensional tectonic settings can be deduced from detrital zircon analyses if CA-DA>150Ma at 5% of the CDF (step1). If step 1 is not reached, a CA-DA<100Ma at 30 % of CDF points to a convergent tectonic setting (magmatic arc-related basin; step 2). CA-DA diagrams for Cambrian–Early Ordovician times are used below to analyse variations in sediment sources along Northwest Gondwana. The applicability of this method to decipher the tectonic evolution of the margin is further discussed.

RESULTS: NEW DATA FROM THE PYRENEAN SAMPLES

In sample PC7 from the Err Formation (Fig. 4), 105 of the 115 analyses are at least 90% concordant. As the depositional age of this sample is Terreneuvian, an age of ~530Ma is selected for the CA-DA diagram (Fig. 9). PC7 displays a predominant Tonyan–Cambrian [0.85–0.50Ga] group representing 71% of the data with a second major Stenian–Tonyan [1.25–0.85Ga] group around 19% of the grains (Figs. 4; 6), a Siderian–Stenian [2.50–1.25Ga] group (7% of the data), and finally a Palaeoarchean–Neoarchean [3.6–2.5Ga] group representing 3% of the zircon grains. The three youngest concordant and overlapping analysis give a concordant maximum depositional age at 601.9+/-.33Ma, MSWD= 1.8 (Appendix V).

In sample MPAD8 from the Serdinya Formation (Fig. 4), 103 of 107 analyses are 90% concordant or more. The depositional age of this sample is dated close to the Cambrian Epoch 2–Miaolingian boundary. Consequently an age of ~514Ma is selected for the CA-DA diagram transition (Fig. 9). In this sample, 59% of the detrital zircons belong to the Tonyan–Cambrian [0.85–0.50Ga], 15% are part of a Stenian–Tonyan [1.25–0.85Ga] group, while the Siderian–Stenian [2.50–1.25Ga] and Palaeoarchean–Neoarchean [3.6–2.5Ga] groups represent both 13% of the population (Figs. 4; 6). The three youngest concordant and overlapping analysis give a concordant maximum depositional age at 570.2/-3.0Ma, MSWD= 0.019 (Appendix V).

In sample ALN1 from the Alins/Seo Formation (Fig. 4), 114 of the 120 analyses were at least 90% concordant. The age of this sample is Miaolingian hence an age of ~514Ma is selected for the CA-DA diagram (Fig. 9). In this sample, 55% of the detrital zircons belong to the upper Tonyan–Cambrian [0.85–0.50Ga], 27 are part of the Stenian–Tonyan [1.25–0.85Ga] group, 13% of the Siderian–Stenian [2.50–1.25Ga] group, and 4% of the Palaeoarchean–Neoarchean [3.6–2.5Ga] group (Figs. 4; 6). The three youngest concordant and overlapping analysis give a concordant maximum depositional age at 562.2/3.1Ma, MSWD= 0.57 (Appendix V).

Based on the late Ediacaran to Early Ordovician evolution of the detrital zircon populations from the Pyrenees, a progressive shift from Panafrican-dominant to more diverse sources, including older cratons, can be envisaged. Among these older sources, those related to Grenville events increase from 13% in Ediacaran sedimentary rocks to more than 30% in Ordovician sandstones (Fig. 6), reaching percentages comparable to the Panafrican sources (42%). Two peaks (610–600 and 580–560Ma) are predominant in the Panafrican sources, being the latter peak (580–560Ma) representative of regional Cadomian events (Fig. 4), such
as those recorded in the Pic de la Clape ignimbrites (Padel et al., 2018). The 580–560Ma age peak ranges from almost dominant in the Ediacaran samples (TG) to nonexistent in the Terreneuvian ones, before their reappearance in Cambrian Epoch 2–Miaolingian samples.

These two peaks disappear in the Early Ordovician samples (Fig. 4), where they are replaced by sources revealing other earlier Panarctic events. The Grenville sources show two age peaks, which remain stable throughout the Ediacaran to Lower Ordovician samples, where the 1.1–1.0Ga peak progressively increases until becoming the most important of all the Proterozoic sources (Fig. 4). As a result, the oldest sources, poorly represented in Ediacaran samples, progressively become more important as controlled by younger depositional ages arguing for sedimentary input from larger areas, probably involving more open and interconnected basins.

DISCUSSION

SW-NE trends in Terreneuvian sedimentary sources

The K-S tests (Fig. 7) characterize the evolution of Ediacaran to Early Ordovician provenance sources along the northwestern Gondwana margin. During the Ediacaran, two ends can be identified: i) a southwesternmost edge where Ossa-Morena shares its zircon pattern with the remaining Iberian Massif, and ii) a northeasternmost edge where the Pyrenees mainly shares its zircon pattern with the Montagne Noire.

During the Terreneuvian, the K-S test shows a possible palearchographic constraint with a SW edge (Anti-Atlas), a NE edge (Sardinia), and an intermediate domain (Cantabrian Zone) separating two transects: i) the southwestern Ossa-Morena, Central Iberian and West Asturian-Leonese Zones and ii) the northeastern Montagne Noire and Pyrenees domains (Fig. 7). As a consequence, after comparing zircon populations following a SW-NE trend, a southwestern source can be recognized mainly feeding the Atlas–Ossa-Morena Rift, a northeastern source feeding the Sardinian margin, and an intermediate area, comprising the Pyrenees, the Montagne Noire and the remaining zones of the Iberian Massif. The effect of the Cantabrian Zone can be explained by a relation between a proximal to distal polarity with other Iberian zones. However, this configuration could also be linked to the presence of an indentor or promontory (Fig. 10) equivalent to the Gondwana promontory model propose by Dias et al. (2016).

Several characteristic Archaean–Palaeoproterozoic zircon populations are identified in all the Terreneuvian–Cambrian Epoch 2 samples. Even if they only represent between 10 to 27% of the global analysed grains, there is a noticeable variation of their relative proportion along NW Gondwana, despite the lack of a distinct pattern probably due to the reduced number of zircon grains. A West African Craton source has often been mentioned to explain the presence of Archaean to Palaeoproterozoic zircon populations in the “lower Cambrian” successions (Awigad et al., 2012 for Morocco and Sardinia; Pereira et al., 2012 for Ossa-Morena Zone; Fernández-Suárez et al., 2014 for the central and northern Iberian Massif zones; Padel et al., 2017 for Montagne Noire). However, the influence of other sources (such as the Arabian Nubian Shield, Saharan Metacraton and Trans-Saharan Belt) cannot be ruled out for most of the studied areas. Although absent in the Terreneuvian–Cambrian Epoch 2 Moroccan sample, Neoarchean zircon populations, which cannot originate from the West African Craton, are more abundant in other contemporaneous samples. This potentially demonstrates the influence of the Arabian Nubian Shield, the Saharan Metacraton and the Trans-Saharan Belt in the northeastern transect of NW Gondwana. Based on the results yielded by these Terreneuvian–Cambrian Series 2 samples, the Cantabrian Zone seemingly represents an exception with more than 60% of the analysed zircon grains derived from Archaean–Palaeoproterozoic sources. In addition, a significant peak around 2.5Ga and the important influence of Meso- and Mesoarchean sources suggest that the Cantabrian Zone could reflect a central source, such as that of the Trans-Saharan Belt (TSB).

The Amazonian craton has been considered as another potential source for some Palaeo– and Mesoproterozoic zircon crystals sampled in NW Gondwana, or even as the sole source for all of them (Fernández-Suárez et al., 2000; Linnemann et al., 2014). The involvement of Amazonian sources was proposed because there were no other ca. 1Ga old sources known in the region at that time (Fernández-Suárez et al., 2014). Since then, such 1Ga old sources have been identified from the Arabian Nubian shield, the Saharan Metacraton, the Trans-Saharan belt (Awigad et al., 2012; Be’er-Shlevin et al., 2012) and, more recently, from the northwestern edge of the Congo Craton in Cameroun (Bernard et al., 2021). In accordance with Fernández-Suárez et al. (2014), the absence of 1.6–1.2Ga zircon grains in different margins of NW Gondwana can be used as an argument to discard significant sources from the Amazonian craton. Therefore, this craton is not reported in Figures 4 and 10 as we consider its influence to be, at best, minimal.

Terreneuvian–Cambrian Series 2 sediments from the Atlas–Ossa-Morena Rift are characterized by a predominant cluster of ca. 0.63–0.54Ga grains, which represent between 81 and 73% of the analyzed zircon populations (Figs. 3;...
6). Avigad et al. (2012) proposed a major contribution from the upper Ediacaran volcanic event represented by the Ouazarzate Supergroup (the so-called Ediacaran Anti-Atlasic Chain of Poulet et al., 2008) emplaced during the last stages of the Panafriacan orogeny (Álvaro et al., 2014b), and referred below to as the Anti-Atlasic source. Although Pereira et al. (2012) suggested that the 0.7–0.54 Ga zircon grains from Ossa-Morena (ETZ30) could be derived from the Cadomian arc, the Anti-Atlasic (Blein et al., 2014a, b) and/or TSB sources seem to fit better with the observed ca. 0.63–0.54 age group identified both in the Anti-Atlasic and the Ossa-Morena Zone, where older Panafriacan zircon crystals (0.95–0.65 Ga) are absent or poorly represented. In the two intermediate areas (the Central Iberian and West Asturian-Leonese Zones vs. the Montagne Noire and the Pyrenees), separated by the Cantabrian Zone, 81 to 71% of the analyzed zircon grains form a 0.9–0.5 Ga age group (Fig. 6), whereas they represent only 39% in the Cantabrian Zone. For this Panafriacan sources, either western (Anti-Atlasic), central (Transsaharian Belt), or eastern (Arabian-Nubian Shield and Saharan Metacraton) may be invoked.

Zircon grains related to a 1.25–0.85 Ga group (i.e. coeval to the Grenville orogeny elsewhere; Figs. 5; 6; 8) are generally associated with the Arabian-Nubian Shield, which was exhumed during Panafriacan orogenic events (Caby, 2003; Liégeois et al., 2003; Kroner and Stern, 2005), and/or with the Saharan Metacraton (Avigad et al., 2003; Fernández-Suárez et al., 2014; Shaw et al., 2014; Padel et al., 2017), however, they should also be linked to the northwestern edge of the Congo Craton (Bernard et al., 2021). Such an age group is absent in the “lower Cambrian” siliclastic strata of the Anti-Atlas and the Ossa-Morena Zone, and show a distinct progressive increase in percentage from the central to the northern Iberian zones (11%) to Sardinia (22%) (Figs. 5; 6; 8). Fernández-Suárez et al. (2014) further noticed that sample OD3 (Central Iberian Zone) revealed a zircon age distribution similar to that from “lower Cambrian” samples reported from the northern part of the Arabian-Nubian Shield (Israel and Jordan). The influence of both the eastern Arabian-Nubian Shield and the Saharan metacraton increases northeastward along NW Gondwana, where a possible influence of the northwestern edge of the Congo Craton should be also envisaged (Fig. 10).

Cambrian source evolution and related tectonic settings

Studies of detrital zircon grains yielded by Cambrian Series 2-Lower Ordovician sandstones of Northwest Gondwana are scarce. Only eight samples are available, including four from Cambrian Series 2-Miaolingian and four from Lower Ordovician strata (Figs. 5; 6). Chroniclological trends in provenance sources are tentatively interpreted based on K-S tests and CA-DA diagrams. According to K-S tests, there are no significant differences between zircon populations (Fig. 7). This supports a relative homogenization of provenance sources along Northwest Gondwana between Cambrian Epoch 2 to Early Ordovician times. The best age group to illustrate this homogenization is the Stenian-Tonian group (Fig. 8), whose proportion, as observed in the Pyrenees, increases from Ediacaran to Lower Ordovician sandstones. The Stenian-Tonian group, associated with sources from the Arabian Nubian Shield, the Saharan Metacraton and
the northwestern edge of the Congo Craton, which did not influence the Atlas-Ossa-Morena Rift during the Terreneuvian, subsequently provided up to 16% of the inherited grains during the Mio-Liassic (Fig. 8). Comparing isotopic Hf values in the Stenian-age population of zircons from Morocco and Sardinia, Arigual et al. (2012) suggested two distinct Stenian sources feeding the western and eastern edges of NW Gondwana. Figure 8 illustrates the evolution of this specific age group. During Terreneuvian times, the 1.25–0.85Ga age group suggests that the Pyrenees would be located between Montagne Noire and Sardinia. In the Cantabrian Zone, the 1.25–0.85Ga age group is not well-represented in the zircon population of sample OD1 (Fig. 8). In addition, the K/S test shows that OD1 appears to be significantly different from other Terreneuvian samples of the intermediate zone. These results suggest that, during the Terreneuvian, the Cantabrian Basin was distinctive enough to represent the hinge of southwestern and a northeastern transects. The evolution of this age group during the Ediacaran–Terreneuvian interval displays two distinct behaviours: the western Ossa-Morena and West Asturian-Leonese Zones transect is characterized by a lower proportion of the 1.25–0.85Ga group, whereas this age group is characterized by a progressive increase in the eastern Montagne Noire and Pyrenees transect (Figs. 5; 6; 8). Although the early Palaeozoic palaeogeographic position of the Pyrenean Basin cannot be definitively assessed, the analysis of this 1.25–0.85Ga age group suggests a strong affinity with the Montagne Noire and Sardinia, probably standing between them (Alvarado et al., 2021).

At the end of Terreneuvian times, the above-reported SW-NE trend is interpreted to reflect a delayed shift in tectonic activity. The amalgamation of the Gondwana supercontinent (Stern, 1994; Ballévre et al., 2001; Meert, 2003; Kroner and Stern, 2005; Stampf et al. and von Raumer, 2008; Murphy et al., 2013; Stampf et al., 2013; Linnemann et al., 2014; Blein et al., 2014a, b) is recorded in the studied area by the Panafrian and Cadomian orogens, which ended up close to the Ediacaran–Cambrian time interval. During the early Palaeozoic, the geodynamic setting of Northwest Gondwana changed drastically from convergent to extensional, marking the beginning of an interconnected rifting phase that ended with the opening of the Rheic Ocean (Ballévre et al., 2001; Linnemann et al., 2007, 2008; Pereira et al. 2012; Stampf et al., 2013; Poulet et al., 2017).

The arc/rift/drift evolution is not clearly reflected by the CA-DA diagram (Fig. 9). Data from the Ediacaran samples fit
The fast post–Panafircan and post–Cadamian shift from convergent to extensional conditions, and the short distances between the settings of deposition and the major Ediacaran Panafircan and Anti-Atlistian sources, explain their permanent influence in Terreneuvian sediments of the Atlas (M1–Ossa-Morena (ETZ30) Rift, as illustrated by the major peak in zircon age distributions and the reduced CA-DA values. The influence of these sources subsequently vanished, resulting in a more flattened and equilibrated age distribution, with a more balanced influence of relatively distant sources of various ages, and higher CA-DA values. In our case study, the easternmost edge (Pyrenees and Sardinia) is rather distant from any source inherited from the late Meso– to Neoproterozoic orogens, such as the Panafrcan or Anti-Atlistian ones (located to the Southwest), or the Arabian-Nubian Shield and Sahara metacraton (to the Northeast). Hence the Pyrenees and Sardinia display a more balanced distribution of zircon composition, increasing their relative CA-DA values, which could explain their “apparent” misleading position in the collisional field. From Cambrian Epoch 2 to Miaolingian times, the effect of closeness to recent sources progressively decreased. In the present case, the different major Meso– to Neoproterozoic sources tend to enlarge their target to more distant basins, again stretching the zircon age curve repartition and increasing the CA-DA value in siliciclastic successions (M4, ACO12-57, MPAD8, ALN1). Only the Lower
Orдовикские кварциты и песчаники Центрально-Иберийского региона (SCS5), выделяемые как "пост-трансляционные" экстензивные зоны (Shaw et al., 2014; Margalef et al., 2016; Pouclet et al., 2017), и отражают особенность CA-DA (CA-DA на шаг 1 = 142±12 Ма; рис. 9).

Впрочем, оба KDE и CA-DA диаграммы для терриневских сукцессий рекомендуют, что восточный и северо-западный частые проявления Южной Африки и Саары, вместе с увеличением влияния Panafricas-Anti-Atlasian источников, отражают переход в экспансивные условия. КЭ и CA-DA диаграммы также отражают быстрый переход в геодинамические условия в контексте аналогичного пост-терриневского этапа в амфиболовые камни, развивающиеся до позднего этапа поздневенденского этапа. Отправление или переходы рифтовых и рифтовых условий приводили к установлению пассивной краевой зоны, также затрагивающей юг и западу от археоидной области во время поздневенденского времени (Pouclet et al., 2017).

Дополнительные биогеографические связи и отличительные географические эпизоды поддерживают палеогеографические интерпретации, основанные на ареале донозойского происхождения. Плато-рифы, образованные в Терранском индустрии восточных Пиреней, в последние годы предоставили значительный рисунок промежуточной археологической деятельности, отражающей археологические, брадориды, брахиоподы, моллюски, томмотий, чанеллерии, холиты и проблематические микроfosillы (Perejón et al., 1994; Wallet et al., 2021) с сильными биогеографическими связями с западными и северо-западными Пиренеями.
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